European Urban Fabric DENMARK Classification Using Odensé **Artificial Intelligence**

Zielona Góra

Pardub

CZECHIA

Jihlava

Maribo

MECKLENBURG VORPOMMERN

Restoc

Magdebu

gensburg

LOWER SAXONY

Esbjerg

Götti

(f) GERMAN HESSE

BADEN-1 UB

LIECHT

ND

Bolzano - Bozen

BRANDENBURG

SAXONY ANHALT Cottbus Chosebuz

Klagenfort

AUSTRIA

Final report

Gdynii

Grudziada

Ostraw

Zittina

Budapest

POLANE

Kaposvá

Gyor

Braticlay

Szeaed

Szolnok

Kield

Krak

Klaipėd

Kaliningrad

EuroFab: European Urban Fabric Classification Using Artificial Intelligence *Final report*

Krasen Samardzhiev¹, Martin Fleischmann^{1,*}, Barbara Metzler² and Daniel Arribas-Bel²

- 1. Faculty of Science, Charles University, Social Geography and Regional Development, Albertov 6, 128 00, Praha, Czechia
- 2. The Alan Turing Institute, Science of Cities and Regions, British Library, 96 Euston Road, London NW1 2DB, UK
- * Correspondence: Martin Fleischmann martin.fleischmann@natur.cuni.cz



The activities reported in this document were carried under a programme of, and funded by, the European Space Agency. View expressed in the publication can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of the European Space Agency.

eurofab.org

 $Basemaps @ CARTO, @ OpenStreetMap \ contributors.$

Table of contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
2	Glos	ary	3
3	Mor	phological machine learning model	4
	3.1	Methodology	4
		3.1.1 Morphological data pipeline	4
		3.1.2 Ground truth classes	6
		3.1.3 Modelling scenarios	9
	3.2	Results	12
		3.2.1 Discussion	12
4	AI-E	Based Urban Fabric Classification using Satellite Imagery	17
	4.1	Data and Methods	17
		4.1.1 Satellite Imagery	17
		4.1.2 Urban Fabric Classes	18
	4.2	Model Design	18
		4.2.1 Classification vs. Segmentation	18
		4.2.2 Scale	19
		4.2.3 Sampling	19
	4.3	Experimental Approaches	19
	4.4	Model Evaluation	19
	4.5	AI Model Results	20
	4.6	Final Model	21
		4.6.1 Addressing Class Imbalance	21
		4.6.2 Classification Schemes	23
		4.6.3 Model Performance	24
	4.7	Temporal Analysis	24
		4.7.1 Diversity Analysis (Shannon Index)	25
		4.7.2 Spatial Patterns of Change	25
	4.8	Final model parameterisation	29
	4.9	Discussion	29
	4.10	Key Findings	29
	4.11	Lessons Learned	30
	4.12	Potential Research Directions	30

Table of contents

5	Stak	eholde	r engagement	32
	5.1	Direct	stakeholder impact on the project	33
6	Con	clusion		35
	6.1	Limita	tions	36
7	Futu	ire worl	k	37
	7.1	Morph	ometric pipeline	37
	7.2	AI visi	on model	39
	7.3	Stakeh	older engagement	40
8	Оре	n Scien	ice	43
	8.1	Softwa	re	43
		8.1.1	Morphometrics	43
		8.1.2	ΕΟ	43
		8.1.3	Software: AI Method for Urban Fabric classification and morpho-	
			metric characterisation	44
	8.2	Examp	ble datasets	44
		8.2.1	Morphometric model	44
		8.2.2	AI model	44
		8.2.3	Example datasets generated during Verification Exercises $\ . \ . \ .$	46
9	Proj	ect doc	cumentation	50
Re	feren	ces		51

1 Introduction

The spatial layout of the physical elements of cities affects most activities their residents undertake. Accessibility (Calafiore et al. 2023), subjective experience of the city and cost of housing (Qiu et al. 2022), as well as energy efficiency (Zhu et al. 2022) are some of the application areas where urban form is used to guide research, applications and policy. Due to the numerous patterns, scales and manifestations of urban form, researchers turn to classification as a method to reduce complexity and group the variations in urban form into classes. The derived classes vary depending on the application. Example coarse groups are continuous, discontinuous and non-urban land (European Environment Agency 1990). More detailed groupings capture the characteristics of streets and buildings, for example organic and gridiron streets (Araldi and Fusco 2024). These resulting classifications of urban form provide valuable insights into the structure of cities and towns, guide targeted policy applications, and form the backbone of urban planning.

However, detailed, scalable, and consistent classifications that reflect the nature of the local data all at the same time are scarcely available. We often see conceptual classification, which has a tendency to oversimplify the structure when "zooming in", loosing the interest of planners and policy-makers, i.e. Stewart and Oke (2012). The classifications developed by key members of the EuroFab project team overcome these limitations (Fleischmann and Samardzhiev Forthcoming; Fleischmann and Arribas-Bel 2022; Fleischmann et al. 2022), but are dependent on the availability of high quality data capturing urban form - individual buildings and related street networks. The issue is that these are not always readily available, even within the context of generally data-rich countries of the European region. Furthermore, even if countries have high quality building data, they are typically missing information on the temporal evolution of the elements.

Therefore, there is a need to: one, derive detailed classifications at scale from suboptimal data that does not have the same qualities as, for example, cadastre would have; and two, add a temporal dimension to the classification which shows how it evolves over time. Such a novel classification can improve urban-form related research and policy applications, by providing researchers, policy makers and practitioners with unprecedented detail and temporal information on urban form. Existing work can be extended using the new detailed classes, while new avenues of research can be established using the temporal dimension.

The strategic goal of the project is to be the basis for subsequent work that will deliver a practically useful, detailed classification of all European urban fabric across time. The

1 Introduction

specific immediate aim of the current work is to develop a methodology capable of achieving the two objectives and verify its validity through a pilot study in Central Europe and the United Kingdom.

The basis for our work are two models - one based on satellite-derived building footprints; and a second, based on a direct use of Sentinel-2 visible bands. The overall approach is to use the first machine learning model to produce training data at scale for the second AI model, since currently such datasets do not exist, and to finally use the AI model to derive a detailed temporal classification of urban form in Europe. To further verify the validity of our approach and the usefulness of the results, we further carry out consultations with stakeholders from the private sector, local planning departments and government organisations. This work will give us insights into how to adapt the methodology and data for practical use cases.

The next three sections of the report describe in more detail the methodological approaches and results of the three work streams - the first machine learning morphological model, the second AI vision model, and the stakeholder engagement. The conclusion section interprets these findings and proposes how to scale the methodology for the whole of Europe, while highlighting potential limitations. The last Open Science section highlights the open source software and datasets developed during the project.

More details about the methodology, background and results of each stage of the project are available in the respective technical notes. The methodology and results from the report are being prepared for scientific publication in leading urban analytics journals.

2 Glosary

- Urban Morphometrics A study of urban form through the means of quantitative assessment of its constituent elements - buildings, streets, plots and their configurations.
- Morphometric characters / Morphological characteristics Quantitative description, typically a measurement, of an element of the built environment, like building area, street width, or local street meshedness.
- Urban fabric / Urban form The spatial layout of the physical elements that make up a city.
- Urban fabric classification The breakdown of urban form into specific classes such as standalone buildings, interconnected blocks and others. Different applications and approaches result in different classes at different scales.
- Urban fabric type A specific class such as standalone buildings, interconnected blocks or continuous urban fabric and others from a specific classification.
- **Spatial signatures** A typology of British urban environments characterised by both form (physical structure) and function (usage) (Arribas-Bel and Fleischmann 2022; Fleischmann and Arribas-Bel 2022). This framework captures the complexity of urban areas, offering insights into how different spaces look and operate.
- **Spatial train/test split** Spliting the data into training and testing subsets, while taking into account spatial proximity. The goal is to have testing data which is geographically separate from the training data, in order to minimise spatial leakage. Spatial leakage comes from the fact that many geographical phenomena exhibit spatial autocorrelation and nearby observations tend to be more similar (or dissimilar of there is negative spatial linakge) to each other. Therefore, splits in which the training and testing data is geographically nearby, tend to transfer information from the test data to the training data, therefore artifically increasing model performance.

3.1 Methodology

The main aim of the morphological machine learning model is to show that the (Fleischmann and Samardzhiev Forthcoming) urban fabric classification, built on cadastre data, can be reproduced using more widely available data sources - building footprints derived from satellite imagery, voluntarily contributed building footprints, i.e. Open-StreetMap building footprints, or combinations of both - Overture Map. This model can be later used to expand the cadastral taxonomy and generate training data for the AI vision model.

The study area covers five countries in Central Europe - Germany, Poland, Slovakia, Austria, Czechia. These countries were chosen as they cover a large area demonstrating the ability of the methodology to scale and have a rich variety of urban form types, developed under different planning regimes.

The bulk of the morphological work can be summarised as:

- 1. Developing a scalable pipeline to generate morphological characteristics from alternative building footprints
- 2. Assignment of cadastral urban classes to the alternative building footprints (the ground truth for the model training)
- 3. Training several models in different contexts and evaluating their ability to predict the assigned cadastral urban form classes, based on the features calculated in 1.

3.1.1 Morphological data pipeline

3.1.1.1 Core data

The core datasets used in the analysis are two types of building footprints - Microsoft Satellite Building footprints and Overture Maps building footprints - and street geometries from Overture Maps. The street network is a direct download from Overture Maps Transportation theme, a processed subset of data from OpenStreetMap, which has global coverage and high quality data. An example for Prague is shown in Figure 3.1.

Figure 3.2 shows a comparison between the cadastral data, Microsoft building footprints and Overture Maps building footprints. Both types of datasets have issues compared to



Figure 3.1: Example unprocessed and processed street network.



Figure 3.2: Comparison between building footprint data sources.

cadastral information, however they generally have larger coverage. Microsoft building footprints have almost global coverage and are heterogenous - they come from the same source. Overture Maps data is more detailed and a combination of datasets, it even includes some Microsoft footprints. But this heterogeneity can make statistics calculated in different areas within the whole dataset incomparable to each other.

3.1.1.2 Morphological pipeline

The morphometric characterisation is directly derived from the method of (Fleischmann and Samardzhiev Forthcoming) to ensure that we minimise conceptual differences between the methodological backbone used to derive the target classification and the data used within our model. Therefore, we focus the morphological characterisation around the concept of Enclosed Tessellation Cells (Fleischmann et al. 2022) - "the portion of space that results from growing a morphological tessellation within an enclosure delineated by a series of natural or built barriers identified from the literature on urban form, function and perception". In this definition, the morphological tessellation is a delineation of the space based on Voronoi polygons centred around buildings and an enclosure is any area enclosed by streets. The boundaries of ETCs also represent the closest area of land to each building, than to any other building within an enclosure, and therefore can act as the unit that combines aspects of building and street morphological characteristics.

Broadly the pipeline can be summarised as:

- 1. Street & building preprocessing. This includes downloading the streets and buildings, simplifying the street network, and fixing topological issues with the building footprints.
- 2. Generating derived morphological elements street nodes, street enclosures, Enclosed Tessellation cells.
- 3. Calculating 52 morphological characteristics which capture aspects of all individual elements (i.e. building area or perimeter), as well as their interactions for example, buildings per street or number of reachable ETCs within five topological steps of the street network.

This pipeline results in around 62 million ETCs with 52 morphological characteristics for the Microsoft data, and around 88 million ETCs with 52 morphological characteristics for the Overture Maps data.

3.1.2 Ground truth classes

Cluster name	Cluster Description
Dense Connected	In this cluster, the built-up density and local street connectivity are high, while inter-building distances remain relatively small.
Developments	Buildings frequently share walls, forming larger structures along relatively short and narrow streets.
Large	This cluster has very high built-up density and local street
Interconnected	connectivity, with narrow and short streets. It is primarily
Blocks	characterised by a high count of connected buildings forming enclosed blocks with courtyards. Furthermore, the distances
	between the formed blocks is small.
Dense	This cluster has moderate to high built-up density and local street
Standalone	connectivity, with longer and wider streets compared to other dense
Buildings	developments. Shared walls between buildings are less common, and distances within buildings are moderate, reflecting a pattern of
	standalone structures within a robust street network .
Compact	Development in this cluster is characterised by a high number of
Development	buildings and relatively dense local street connectivity, with short and narrow streets. Shared walls appear regularly, and
	inter-building distances are relatively small, with building sizes
	being typically small and uniform.
Cul-de-Sac	Development in this cluster is characterised by a moderate to high
Lavout	built-up density, short and moderately dense locally street
	connectivity. A distinguishing feature is the significant presence of cul-de-sacs. The buildings along the streets are relatively smaller.
	and more numerous, than in Aligned Winding Streets.
Aligned	This cluster has low to moderate built-up and street connectivity.
Winding Streets	Buildings exhibit a consistent alignment among themselves and also
0	along streets of varying length, width and linearity. There is also a significant number of shared walls between structures.
Sparse Rural	This cluster has a sparse built-up area with low street connectivity
Development	and messiness. The streets are long and the widest in the
	taxonomy, while the distance between buildings is the largest.
Dispersed Linear	This cluster has a moderate built up area and the lowest local
Development	street connectivity in the taxonomy typically forming long linear
Princhmenn	villages Its streets are the longest linear wide and have
	considerable distances between street intersections and minimal
	shared walls between structures
	Sharea want between bu detares.

Table 3.1: Cluster descriptions

Cluster name	Cluster Description
Linear	This cluster has a moderate built up area and the low local street
Development	connectivity in the taxonomy, typically forming long linear villages.
	Its streets are long, linear, wide and there are minimal shared walls
	between structures. Its distinguishing features from "Extended
	Linear Development" is the shorter streets and relatively higher
	street connectivity.
Sparse Open	This cluster is characterized by low built-up density, low street
Layout	connectivity, large distances between buildings, few shared walls,
	and large open spaces around buildings. The streets are few, open
	and wide. The buildings are moderate in size and more diverse
~	than those in the Sparse Road-Linked Development cluster.
Sparse	This cluster is characterized by low built-up density, low street
Road-Linked	connectivity, large distances between buildings, few shared walls,
Development	and large open spaces around buildings. The streets are few, open
	and wide. In contrast, with 'Sparse Open Layout' the buildings are
T TT	smaller and more uniform.
Large Utilitarian	This cluster consists of the largest buildings with notable variations
Development	in size and shape, as well as low to moderate street connectivity
	and wide streets. The design does not emphasise sunlight exposure,
	creating broad but less refined configurations, typical of industrial
	and other service areas.
Extensive	This cluster consists of the large buildings with moderate variations
Wide-Spaced	in size and shape, as well as low to moderate street connectivity and
Developments	wide streets. The resulting environment is spacious, with significant
	open areas between structures, typical of modernist housing.

3 Morphological machine learning model

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Traditional	Central Urban	Central Urban	Dense Connected
Street Network	Developments	Developments	Developments
			Large Interconnected
			Blocks
	Traditional Urban	Dense Urban	Dense Standalone
	Developments	Developments	Buildings
			Compact
			Development
		Street-aligned	Aligned Winding
		Developments	Streets
			Cul-de-Sac Layout
Utilitarian Street	Utilitarian street	Large Scale	Large Utilitarian
Network	network	Developments	Development
			Extensive
			Wide-Spaced
			Developments
		Sparse Road	Sparse Open Layout
		Network	
		Developments	
			Sparse Road-Linked
			Development
	Linear & Rural	Linear Road	Dispersed Linear
	Development	Network	Development
		Developments	
			Linear Development
		Sparse Rural	Sparse Rural
		Development	Development

Table 3.	2: Ta	axonon	nic	tree
----------	-------	--------	-----	------

The taxonomy of ground truth classes from (Fleischmann and Samardzhiev Forthcoming) and available as an interactive map online at HiMOC is presented in Table 3.2. The descriptions for the level 4 classes are available in Table 3.1. All subsequent analysis focuses on Levels 3 and 4. The target labels from this are assigned to each building from the morphological pipeline based on spatial intersections.

3.1.3 Modelling scenarios

Figure 3.3 shows the all experiments that will be performed for each dataset. The main goal with so many training / testing configurations is to get detailed statistics about



Figure 3.3: Train/test data splits for different models.

the expected model performance in realistic scenarios - predicting urban form in various types of new environments. Two models - a global random model and a global spatially explicit model - are trained to highlight the effects of spatial lag in model training and testing, while the country specific models are trained to evaluate the ability of the models to generalise outside of the training data scope. The train / test configuration for the spatially explicit model first delineates the study area into h3 level second hexagon cells with an area of around 500 sqm. The dataset is then split into train and test subsets randomly, but ensuring that all buildings within a hexagon are either part of the train or test subset.

In total we test 24 models to gain information about the predictability of detailed urban form classes. All experiments are repeated independently for each dataset - once for the Overture and once for the Microsoft building footprints - and for each of Level 3 and Level 4 of the taxonomy. This is done to verify in which cases, what data can be used to derive detailed urban form classifications. The models for each experiment are HistogramGradientBoostingClassifier and share the same parameters - no maximum depth, no maximum leaf nodes, max_features = 0.5, learning_rate = .03 . All training was done on one machine - Ubuntu 24.04 LTS, AMD Ryzen 9 9900X 12-Core Processor, 128 GB RAM. Total training time for all 24 models was around 12 hours, and each model was around 40GB in size. The training time and model size can be significantly reduced, without much loss of accuracy by specifying the maximum depth, or the maximum leaf nodes parameters.

(a) Microsoft building footprints												
	Random	Spatial	OoS	SK	\mathbf{PL}	DE	AT	CZ				
Weighted F1	0.74	0.66	0.59	0.54	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.56				
Micro F1	0.74	0.65	0.57	0.53	0.61	0.58	0.62	0.53				
Macro F1	0.73	0.63	0.48	0.45	0.54	0.47	0.49	0.45				
(b) Overture building footprints												
	(b) (Overture bu	uilding fo	ootprint	s							
	(b) Random	Overture bu Spatial	iilding fo OoS	ootprint SK	rs PL	DE	AT	CZ				
Weighted F1	(b) G Random 0.74	Overture bu Spatial 0.66	iilding fo OoS 0.59	ootprint SK 0.51	PL 0.61	DE 0.62	AT 0.65	CZ 0.59				
Weighted F1 Micro F1	(b) (Random 0.74 0.74	Overture bu Spatial 0.66 0.66	iilding fo OoS 0.59 0.58	ootprint SK 0.51 0.50	PL 0.61 0.61	DE 0.62 0.61	AT 0.65 0.63	CZ 0.59 0.56				

Table 3.3: Overall F1 scores at level 3 $\,$

Table 3.4: Individual F1 scores for level 3 clusters

	(a) Microsoft	building	footprints
--	----	-------------	----------	------------

	Random	\mathbf{S} patial	OoS	SK	\mathbf{PL}	DE	AT	CZ
Central Urban Developments	0.62	0.56	0.46	0.37	0.45	0.51	0.50	0.47
Dense Urban Developments	0.73	0.67	0.65	0.64	0.70	0.64	0.66	0.62
Large Scale Developments	0.54	0.46	0.42	0.34	0.55	0.37	0.39	0.42
Linear Road Network Developments	0.87	0.67	0.36	0.45	0.59	0.36	0.20	0.20
Sparse Road Network Developments	0.79	0.71	0.64	0.52	0.70	0.61	0.73	0.64
Sparse Rural Development	0.87	0.71	0.38	0.31	0.46	0.18	0.47	0.47
Street-aligned Developments	0.70	0.63	0.46	0.56	0.33	0.59	0.49	0.32
(b) Overture building footprints								
	Dandom	Spotial	0.5	СI/	DI	DF	۸T	07

	Random	Spatial	OoS	SK	PL	DE	AT	CZ
Central Urban Developments	0.74	0.69	0.59	0.41	0.62	0.67	0.65	0.62
Dense Urban Developments	0.72	0.67	0.65	0.64	0.69	0.64	0.66	0.63
Large Scale Developments	0.54	0.45	0.40	0.36	0.55	0.36	0.38	0.34
Linear Road Network Developments	0.86	0.66	0.38	0.46	0.60	0.36	0.26	0.23
Sparse Road Network Developments	0.78	0.70	0.64	0.49	0.69	0.60	0.73	0.67
Sparse Rural Development	0.85	0.71	0.39	0.36	0.47	0.16	0.51	0.47
Street-aligned Developments	0.69	0.62	0.46	0.49	0.35	0.60	0.51	0.35

(a) Microsoft building footprints												
	Random	Spatial	OoS	SK	\mathbf{PL}	DE	AT	CZ				
Weighted F1	0.59	0.52	0.38	0.38	0.42	0.36	0.44	0.29				
Micro F1	0.59	0.52	0.37	0.38	0.42	0.37	0.40	0.28				
Macro F1	0.59	0.49	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.31	0.30	0.26				
(b) Overture building footprints												
	(b) (Overture bu	ilding fo	ootprint	s							
	(b) (Random	Overture bu Spatial	ilding fo OoS	ootprint SK	s PL	DE	AT	CZ				
Weighted F1	(b) G Random 0.70	Overture bu Spatial 0.55	ilding fo OoS 0.41	ootprint SK 0.35	PL 0.43	DE 0.41	AT 0.49	CZ 0.39				
Weighted F1 Micro F1	(b) G Random 0.70 0.70	Overture bu Spatial 0.55 0.54	ilding fo OoS 0.41 0.40	SK 0.35 0.34	PL 0.43 0.43	DE 0.41 0.41	AT 0.49 0.46	CZ 0.39 0.36				

Table 3.5: Overall F1 scores at Level 4

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Discussion

3.2.1.1 Urban Morphology

With regard to urban morphology, the results show that deriving detailed urban morphology classes from alternative data sources is possible. However, morphological types are heterogeneous, and the characteristics and factors that are important vary across scales of dissimilarity.

The modelling results show that a lot of useful information can be learned morphologically from one country and transferred to new contexts. Table 3.3 shows that at Level 3, the out-of-sample (OoS) averages are close to the spatial split F1 score of approximately 0.6. This is comparable to other land use prediction models, with two caveats. First, we are making predictions at a much higher level of detail—the individual building level—than typical land use models. Second, we test the models in a more difficult but more realistic scenario and report the possible out-of-sample scores. Furthermore, the higher scores in Table 3.3, as well as the confusion matrix in Figure 3.4, also suggest that we make reasonable misclassifications—one class at Level 4 is often misclassified as another Level 4 class within the same Level 3 category, i.e., part of the same branch in the ground truth taxonomy. If this were not the case, the Table 3.3 F1 scores would be equal to or lower than the Table 3.5 scores.

Nevertheless, in spite of these results, urban morphology remains highly heterogeneous. The differences between the country-specific models reflect the ability to use information from the analysed urban forms of several countries to infer the urban form type in an unseen country. If the results across these models were similar, it would imply that

Table 3.6: Individual F1 scores for level 4 clusters

(a) Microsoft building footprints

	Random	Spatial	OoS	SK	PL	DE	AT	CZ
Aligned Winding Streets	0.51	0.45	0.28	0.35	0.21	0.36	0.28	0.18
Compact Development	0.59	0.55	0.13	0.15	0.09	0.24	0.09	0.08
Cul-de-Sac Layout	0.57	0.52	0.43	0.52	0.31	0.52	0.47	0.32
Dense Connected Developments	0.50	0.46	0.33	0.29	0.29	0.45	0.33	0.29
Dense Standalone Buildings	0.63	0.56	0.54	0.53	0.65	0.38	0.55	0.57
Dispersed Linear Development	0.91	0.64	0.19	0.17	0.34	0.18	0.06	0.18
Extensive Wide-Spaced Developments	0.41	0.31	0.25	0.25	0.46	0.13	0.19	0.25
Large Interconnected Blocks	0.36	0.28	0.24	0.22	0.17	0.37	0.30	0.15
Large Utilitarian Development	0.49	0.40	0.32	0.23	0.34	0.36	0.34	0.34
Linear Development	0.73	0.50	0.28	0.37	0.43	0.29	0.13	0.16
Sparse Open Layout	0.62	0.56	0.31	0.14	0.36	0.32	0.50	0.24
Sparse Road-Linked Development	0.57	0.46	0.27	0.40	0.32	0.29	0.17	0.19
Sparse Rural Development	0.79	0.69	0.39	0.29	0.54	0.17	0.49	0.47

(b) Overture building footprints

	Random	\mathbf{S} patial	OoS	SK	\mathbf{PL}	DE	AT	CZ
Aligned Winding Streets	0.61	0.46	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.37	0.31	0.25
Compact Development	0.66	0.55	0.16	0.09	0.12	0.30	0.18	0.11
Cul-de-Sac Layout	0.65	0.51	0.42	0.48	0.31	0.50	0.47	0.32
Dense Connected Developments	0.67	0.58	0.43	0.30	0.44	0.58	0.39	0.44
Dense Standalone Buildings	0.69	0.57	0.53	0.53	0.63	0.36	0.53	0.61
Dispersed Linear Development	0.96	0.65	0.16	0.09	0.31	0.16	0.07	0.19
Extensive Wide-Spaced Developments	0.52	0.36	0.27	0.30	0.47	0.13	0.23	0.22
Large Interconnected Blocks	0.69	0.60	0.46	0.41	0.35	0.57	0.57	0.42
Large Utilitarian Development	0.60	0.44	0.37	0.28	0.37	0.42	0.38	0.41
Linear Development	0.88	0.53	0.30	0.37	0.44	0.29	0.21	0.18
Sparse Open Layout	0.74	0.61	0.36	0.07	0.38	0.34	0.61	0.38
Sparse Road-Linked Development	0.72	0.49	0.29	0.37	0.34	0.32	0.21	0.21
Sparse Rural Development	0.88	0.71	0.41	0.35	0.55	0.15	0.50	0.47

															-	- 0.8
	Dense Connected Developments -	0.54	0.1	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.07				0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05		
	Large Interconnected Blocks -	0.12	0.84	0			0.01						0.01	0.01		- 0.7
	Dense Standalone Buildings -	0.06	0.01	0.6	0.13	0.07	0.04				0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03		
	Compact Development -	0.13		0.11	0.54	0.11	0.08						0.01	0.01	-	- 0.6
	Cul-de-Sac Layout -	0.04		0.07	0.11	0.54	0.12				0.05	0.05	0.01	0.01		
	Aligned Winding Streets -	0.08		0.05	0.08	0.14	0.41	0		0.01	0.05	0.13	0.03	0.03	-	- 0.5
ue label	Sparse Rural Development -	0					0	0.78	0.03	0.08	0.08	0.02	0.01	0		- 0.4
F	Dispersed Linear Development -	0						0.1	0.62	0.24		0.03		0		- 0.4
	Linear Development -	0					0.01	0.15	0.06	0.57	0.04	0.14	0.01	ο	-	- 0.3
	Sparse Open Layout -	0.01		0.03		0.05	0.05	0.07		0.03	0.55	0.12	0.03	0.04		
	Sparse Road-Linked Development -	0.01		0.02		0.05	0.11	0.03		0.08	0.16	0.48	0.03	0.02		- 0.2
	Large Utilitarian Development -	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.01		0.01	0.06	0.04	0.67	0.1		
E	xtensive Wide-Spaced Developments -	0.07	0.01	0.04		0.02	0.02	0.01			0.05	0.03	0.12	0.63		- 0.1
		Dense Connected Developments -	Large Interconnected Blocks -	Dense Standalone Buildings -	Compact Development -	Cul-de-Sac Layout -	Aligned Winding Streets -	Perse Rural Development -	Dispersed Linear Development -	Linear Development -	Sparse Open Layout -	Sparse Road-Linked Development -	Large Utilitarian Development -	Extensive Wide-Spaced Developments -		- 0.0

Figure 3.4: Confusion matrix for the Spatial model Level 4 predictions. Normalised by the True Label (row-wise)

urban form is similar across countries. The large differences suggest that underlying national phenomena affect morphology. While this in itself is not a new finding, it has not been quantitatively demonstrated before, especially based on tens of morphological characteristics calculated using tens of millions of urban geometries across several countries.

This heterogeneity, however, varies. Austria has, on average, the most similar morphology to the other four Central European countries, whereas Czechia and Slovakia are the most different. The level of morphological detail at which the analysis is carried out also has an effect. At Level 3 of the morphological taxonomic tree the results across countries are relatively more similar than at Level 4. Furthermore, **Dense Standalone Buildings**, **Large Interconnected Blocks**, **Large Utilitarian Development**, are more predictable across all the country models than other classes.

Identifying different types of urban form also requires specific types of characteristics and data quality. The relative overall similarity between the scores in Table 3.3a and Table 3.3b suggests that, except in the case of **Central Urban Developments**, building polygon quality is not that important. Conversely, since the street network is shared, the results show the importance of the street network and how it can compensate for poor-quality building footprint data. As the required level of detail increases, however (see Table 3.5a and Table 3.5b), data quality and aspects of building morphology such as adjacency, configuration, and shape become more important.

3.2.1.2 Morphological data

The results also suggest that heterogeneous but more detailed data is preferable to homogeneous but coarser data for urban morphological analysis. Furthermore, the morphological pipeline developed for cadastre data can be run without modification on both the Microsoft (homogeneous, generally more detailed) and Overture maps data (heterogeneous, generally more detailed).

The overall higher or equivalent performance of Overture maps data shows that this data should be used when possible to identify urban morphological types for countries not in the training data. Overture maps' schema and easy access to the OpenStreetMap street networks are additional benefits. Taken together, the results show that, overall, when cadastre data is not available, Overture maps data should be used in place of satellite-derived footprints.

The consistently higher performance of the spatial models also suggests using as much data as possible in model training, from any source, since it provides some local context.

3.2.1.3 Spatial modelling

The results from the comparisons highlight the need for complex train/testing dataset splits when training models in the presence of spatial autocorrelation. When reporting accuracy scores, many land use prediction models do not take into account spatial autocorrelation. As consistently shown in the F1 score tables in this work, this results in artificially higher scores. Even worse, in the case of more complex models, they may not be learning the weights necessary to perform inference, but rather overfitting and memorising the training data. This work further shows that, for urban morphological predictions and analysis specifically, spatial leakage is ubiquitous.

There are other works and papers that have highlighted the need for spatially stratified training of models. They suggest various schemes to split the data spatially - predefined grids or locally derived boundaries or other approaches all together. What this paper further highlights is the need to consider not just the specific type of spatial stratification, but the scale of stratification as well. The consistently lower scores for the spatial split and the individual countries models highlight the fact that there is spatial autocorrelation of morphological features at multiple scales - local, as defined in this work based on h3 level 7 grid cells, and national as defined by national boundaries.

In the specific case of urban morphology, there are also other possible scales to consider, such as regional or city-level, which could also affect predictions. We focused specifically on the national scale, since data quality from OpenStreetMap varies widely at that level. Furthermore, the most common use case for the model would be to predict morphological classes for an entire country that is not part of the training data. Nevertheless, the implications of our results are that when modelling spatial phenomena using machine learning models, train/test splits should account for the specific properties of the phenomenon under analysis. Works that do not explicitly do this risk reporting artificially high accuracies that do not reflect real model use cases.

Urban areas represent complex and dynamic environments, making traditional analysis challenging due to their diverse characteristics. Remote sensing technologies, particularly satellite imagery, provide powerful tools for understanding and characterising urban areas at scale. As part of the EuroFab project, we employed AI modelling techniques using Sentinel-2 satellite imagery to classify and analyse urban fabric across Great Britain. The aim was to generate detailed insights into urban spatial structures and track temporal changes spanning from 2016 to 2021.

4.1 Data and Methods

4.1.1 Satellite Imagery

We utilised two different sets of satellite images derived from Sentinel-2 imagery:

- 1. **GHS-composite-S2 R2020A dataset** (Corbane et al. 2020): Covering January 2017 to December 2018, this dataset offers cloud-free, consistent, high-quality RGB (red, green, blue band) composite imagery at a resolution of 10 meters per pixel. We used these images of Great Britain (GB) to train our prediction model.
- 2. Annual Sentinel-2 composites: Created for each year from 2016 to 2021, these composites include only cloud-free RGB images from their specific year. We acquired these using the Google Earth Engine API through automated Python scripts (as described in GEE pipeline).

Sentinel-2 data is particularly suitable for our application due to several advantages:

- Consistent and openly available global coverage, ideal for cross-regional comparisons
- Temporal flexibility through composites from multiple time points, allowing analysis of urban patterns over time
- Resolution of 10 meters per pixel, providing sufficient detail to distinguish urban features at the neighbourhood scale
- Compatibility with many pre-trained geospatial AI models, facilitating transfer learning

4.1.2 Urban Fabric Classes

The urban fabric predictions from our AI model are based on labels from the Spatial Signatures Framework (Arribas-Bel and Fleischmann 2022; Fleischmann and Arribas-Bel 2022), which provides a typology of British urban environments characterised by both form (physical structure) and function (usage). This framework captures the complexity of urban areas, offering insights into how different spaces look and operate.

While our primary focus is on urban fabric classification centred on form—an approach that may be simpler since form is visible in imagery—we currently use the Spatial Signatures Framework as a proxy due to its conceptual alignment with our goals for urban characterisation. This decision was influenced by the limited project duration and the fact that the morphotope-based classification only became available in Q4 2024.

To ensure progress in developing the AI model, we use separate input classifications for the morphological and AI components of the project. The expectation is that the two classifications are conceptually similar, allowing the model architecture developed for Spatial Signatures to later support building an equally or more precise predictive model for the morphotope-based classification.

4.2 Model Design

4.2.1 Classification vs. Segmentation

In satellite image analysis, classification and segmentation address spatial labelling at different levels of granularity:

- **Classification**: Assigns a single urban fabric type to an image tile, offering generalised insights into dominant urban characteristics without the need for pixel-perfect alignment.
- Segmentation: Assigns urban fabric types at a pixel level, providing detailed boundary-specific mapping but facing challenges due to ambiguous class boundaries in urban environments.

In our study, the label dataset does not always correspond directly to identifiable features in the imagery, making classification a potentially more suitable approach as it generalises each tile's dominant land cover type without requiring exact pixel alignment. However, we explored both approaches to evaluate how each method performs given the scale and nature of the dataset.

4.2.2 Scale

We tested multiple scales for our analysis: - Segmentation at the pixel level (preprocessed into 224×224 pixel tiles for compatibility with downstream models) - Classification at scales of 56×56 pixels (560×560 meters on the ground) and 25×25 pixels (250×250 meters on the ground)

4.2.3 Sampling

We evaluated two sampling approaches to assess their impact on spatial generalisation and F1-score performance:

- 1. **Random sampling**: Ensures diversity and captures localised patterns, but risks spatial leakage, potentially inflating performance metrics.
- 2. H3 resolution 3 regional sampling: Reduces spatial leakage and offers a more realistic evaluation of generalisation but can suffer from unfair penalisation due to the heterogeneity of regions.

4.3 Experimental Approaches

We structured experiments around three distinct modelling approaches:

- Approach A: Baseline model employing SatlasPretrain embeddings coupled with an XGBoost classifier.
- Approach B: Fine-tuned three geospatial foundation models (Satlas, Clay, Prithvi) specifically for segmentation tasks.
- **Approach C**: Fine-tuned the Clay model for classification tasks based on its superior segmentation performance.

4.4 Model Evaluation

Multiple metrics were utilised to comprehensively evaluate model performance:

- Weighted Accuracy (Macro): Reflects overall predictive accuracy adjusted for class frequency.
- Weighted F1 Score: Balances precision and recall, particularly crucial for handling imbalanced datasets.

4.5 AI Model Results

Our experimental results demonstrated that classification approaches outperformed segmentation methods. This was not only in terms of accuracy but also in the shapes that were predicted. While the 56×56 pixel scale (560×560 m) yielded marginally better statistical performance, we determined that the 25×25 pixel scale (250×250 m) offered a more appropriate resolution for policy applications and urban planning contexts. A key finding was that incorporating regional context through H3 spatial indexing significantly enhanced predictive performance across all configurations. Models combining embeddings with H3 contextual information provided the most balanced performance, substantially outperforming other approaches on all metrics. Notably, when comparing sampling strategies, we observed that H3 regional sampling showed slightly lower performance metrics than random sampling, suggesting potential spatial leakage in the random sampling approach that may artificially inflate performance metrics.

The results in the table below represent either pixel-level values or tile level values in brackets.

				Regional	Global	Macro	$\mathbf{F1}$
Approa	clScale	Sampling	Clas/seg	info	Acc	Acc	(macro)
С	1x1	random	segmentati	on	0.73	0.31	0.30
(Clay)			_				(0.58)
С	1x1	random	segmentatio	on	0.57		(0.41)
(Satlas)							
С	1x1	random	segmentatio	on	0.62		(0.42)
(Prithvi)							
А	25x25	random	classificatio	n	(0.73)	(0.31)	(0.30)
(embed-							
dings)							
А	25x25	random	classificatio	on	(0.81)	(0.46)	(0.53)
(embed-							
dings)							
А	25x25	random	classificatio	nhat/lon	(0.89)	(0.71)	(0.78)
(embed-							
dings)							
	25x25	random		lat/lon	(0.91)	(0.78)	(0.83)
А	25x25	H3 res 3	classificatio	onH3 res 5	(0.58)	(0.15)	(0.15)
(embed-		(55,743)		(lat/lon)			
dings)							
А	25x25	H3 res 5	classificatio	onH3 res 5	(0.65)	(0.20)	(0.21)
(embed-		(2,125)		(lat/lon)			
dings)							

Approa	clScale	Sampling	Clas/seg	Regional info	Global Acc	Macro Acc	F1 (macro)
A (embed-	25x25	H3 res 6 (335)	classificatio	onH3 res 5 (lat/lon)	(0.72)	(0.29)	(0.32)
dings)							
A (embed- dings)	56x56	random	classificatio	on	0.76 (0.66)	0.22 (0.13)	0.23
A (embed- dings)	56x56	random	classificatio	onH3 res 5 (cat)	$0.87 \\ (0.82)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ (0.35) \end{array}$	0.45
A (embed- dings)	56x56	random	classificatio	113 res 5 (lat/lon)	$0.87 \\ (0.81)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.39 \\ (0.31) \end{array}$	0.42
A (embed- dings)	56x56	random	regression (ordinal)	H3 res 5 (lat/lon)	0.80 (0.80)	0.26 (0.26)	0.26
B (Clay)	56x56	random	classificatio	on	$0.59 \\ (0.68)$	0.09	0.12

Based on these insights, our final model implements a Satlas embedding architecture operating at the 25×25 pixel scale (250×250 m resolution) with integrated H3 resolution 5 regional context, optimising the balance between spatial detail and classification accuracy while ensuring robust generalisation to diverse urban environments.

4.6 Final Model

4.6.1 Addressing Class Imbalance

Significant class imbalance, particularly for urban classes, posed a considerable challenge in our modelling. To mitigate this, we implemented a sliding-window augmentation strategy for classes comprising less than 10% of the dataset (all classes except *Countryside agriculture* and *Wild countryside*).

This sliding-window approach systematically shifted the sampling window horizontally and vertically by increments of 50, 100, 150, and 200 meters, significantly increasing the volume of available training data for underrepresented classes.



4 AI-Based Urban Fabric Classification using Satellite Imagery

Figure 4.1: Sliding Window Augmentation



The images below show class distributions before (left) and after (right) augmentation:

The following table summarises augmentation results, highlighting the substantial increase in training samples for previously underrepresented classes:

Class	Before Augmentation	After Augmentation
Accessible suburbia	15,054	129,620
Connected residential neighbourhoods	2,567	21,021
Countryside agriculture	1,367,999	1,367,999
Dense residential neighbourhoods	4,299	34,507
Dense urban neighbourhoods	$3,\!636$	$31,\!657$
Disconnected suburbia	2,644	20,113
Gridded residential quarters	1,518	12,849
Open sprawl	33,910	292,884
Urban buffer	381,283	381,283
Urbanity	$2,\!495$	21,929
Warehouse/Park land	21,282	$195,\!105$
Wild countryside	$1,\!395,\!048$	$1,\!395,\!048$

4 AI-Based Urban Fabric Classification using Satellite Imagery

4.6.2 Classification Schemes

We used two versions of our dataset:

1. 12-class scheme: Maintained all original Spatial Signatures classes:

```
class_labels = {
    'Accessible suburbia': 0,
    'Connected residential neighbourhoods': 1,
    'Countryside agriculture': 2,
    'Dense residential neighbourhoods': 3,
    'Dense urban neighbourhoods': 4,
    'Disconnected suburbia': 5,
    'Gridded residential quarters': 6,
    'Open sprawl': 7,
    'Urban buffer': 8,
    'Urbanity': 9,
    'Warehouse/Park land': 10,
    'Wild countryside': 11
}
```

2. Simplified 7-class scheme: Created by re-clustering underlying data from the Spatial Signatures Framework using K-means clustering (K=7):

```
class_labels_k7 = {
    'Countryside agriculture': 0,
    'Open sprawl': 1,
    'Compact suburbia': 2,
```

```
'Urban': 3,
'Urban buffer': 4,
'Warehouse/Park land': 5,
'Wild countryside': 6
}
```

4.6.3 Model Performance

The final XGBoost classifier was trained using the augmented dataset and evaluated using three metrics: micro accuracy, macro accuracy (where every class has the same weighting), and macro F1 score. We validated the model using 5-fold spatial cross-validation at H3 resolution 6, ensuring an 80/20 training-testing split.

The table below summarises classifier performance for both classification schemes (7 and 12 classes) and two spatial context scenarios (with and without H3 resolution):

Classes (K)	Spatial Context	Accuracy	Macro Accuracy	Macro F1 Score
7	None	0.4924	0.3856	0.3389
7	H3 (res 5)	0.6959	0.5713	0.5221
12	None	0.4617	0.2666	0.2127
12	H3 (res 5)	0.6654	0.4328	0.3654

Including spatial context (H3 resolution) notably improved classification accuracy and F1 scores, demonstrating the importance of spatial context in predicting urban fabric classes. As anticipated, the model with fewer classes (7) performed better compared to the one with 12 classes.

4.7 Temporal Analysis

We used the trained XGBoost classifier to make predictions across the years 2016 to 2021. The overall overlap between the initial year (2016) and final year (2021) remained high at 88%, confirming that Spatial Signatures classes remained relatively stable across the study period. However, minor variations may indicate either genuine change or model uncertainty.

Year-to-year class stability rates:

- 2016 \rightarrow 2017: 88%
- $2017 \rightarrow 2018: 88\%$
- 2018 \rightarrow 2019: 86%
- $2019 \rightarrow 2020: 86\%$

• 2020 \rightarrow 2021: 88%

4.7.1 Diversity Analysis (Shannon Index)

We assessed changes in urban fabric diversity using the Shannon Index across the studied years. The results are summarised in the table below, indicating slight fluctuations, with the most notable increase in diversity occurring in 2019:

Year	Shannon Index
2016	1.868
2017	1.863
2018	1.868
2019	2.007
2020	1.873
2021	1.872

The marked increase in the Shannon Index in 2019 suggests an increase in class diversity during that year, followed by a subsequent return to previous levels. This could indicate some differences in the imagery caused by weather conditions or sensor characteristics specific to 2019.

4.7.2 Spatial Patterns of Change

To make predictions across years, we used the trained model and fed in all GB tiles to get predictions for the 12 classes.

Spatial analysis identified areas across England with frequent class transitions (map below), particularly around major urban centres and suburban zones. These frequent transitions may either represent genuine urban transformations or result from classifier uncertainty, especially in ambiguous zones between visually similar Spatial Signatures classes.



Figure 4.2: Frequency Map

Class-specific analysis further highlighted particular Spatial Signatures types prone to transitions. The figure below shows which classes experienced frequent transitions:



Figure 4.3: Class Transitions

To better interpret these transitions, we calculated transition probabilities and organised them into a structured confusion matrix. This matrix clearly shows the urban fabric classes most likely to interchange over the studied period:



Figure 4.4: 'Reasonable' confusion matrix

Lastly, we analysed the inverse-probability-based distances of urban fabric classes over time. Shorter distances represent a higher likelihood of transitioning or changing classes from year to year, whereas longer distances indicate greater stability:



Figure 4.5: Probability of change across classes

This analysis shows urban fabric classes such as Urbanity, Warehouse/Park land, Dense urban neighbourhoods, and Connected residential neighbourhoods have shorter inverse dis-

tances, indicating higher levels of dynamic change or redevelopment. Conversely, classes such as *Wild countryside* and *Countryside agriculture* have longer distances, suggesting greater temporal stability. Although these results align with expectations about urban and rural dynamics, they may also reflect the classifier's varying uncertainty across these visually distinct environments.

4.8 Final model parameterisation

After all the experiments the best performing AI pipeline was using the SatlasPretrain model (Bastani et al. 2023) operating at the 25×25 pixel scale (250×250 m resolution) to generate embeddings with integrated H3 resolution 5 regional context. Specifically, the embedding model is a Vision Transformer (ViT) - Swin Transformer V2 - with a Feature Pyramid Network (FPN) - [128, 256, 512, 1024] and out_channels=128 to generate image embeddings. The H3 resulution 5 centroid coordinates in OSGB projection was added as two extra features to the embeddings.

We used standard, pre-configured neural network setups without tuning the hyperparameters, due to the limited amount of training data and class imbalances. As a result, we did not include a validation split in these experiments. Similarly, the XGBoostClassifer used the default hyperparameters from the xgboost Python package.

The model was trained and tested using 5-fold split using H3 resolution 6 ensuring an 80/20 training-testing split for the whole of the UK. This is a similar split to the morphometric pipeline, but at a higher resoultion, again due to the data limitations.

4.9 Discussion

4.10 Key Findings

The analysis demonstrates that urban fabric classifications exhibit distinct temporal and spatial dynamics, reflecting varying levels of stability and diversity over time. Notably, urban classes such as *Dense urban neighbourhoods* and *Connected residential neighbourhoods* displayed higher probabilities of transition, indicating active urban transformation. Similarly, suburban classes, such as *Accessible suburbia*, *Disconnected suburbia*, and *Urban buffer*, also show a higher probability of change between these classes. Conversely, rural classes showed significant stability. It's important to note that these observed changes may be more related to classifier uncertainty than actual environmental changes.

4.11 Lessons Learned

- Scale: Urban fabric classes, like spatial signatures, have fuzzy boundaries. Pixellevel classifications provide the highest possible spatial resolution, which is beneficial for detailed analysis. However, pixels often lack clear visual cues indicating exact boundaries between classes, making pixel-level predictions challenging for the model. Patch-level classification, although lower in spatial resolution depending on patch size, provided clearer visual context and resulted in better overall performance.
- Embeddings vs. Fine-Tuned Foundation Model: Fine-tuning foundation models involves significant complexity and requires careful design decisions. In our case, the limited number of training examples was insufficient to achieve noticeable improvements through fine-tuning. The effort required for fine-tuning did not outweigh the simpler alternative of using off-the-shelf embeddings.
- **Regional Trends**: Including regional contextual information substantially improved the classifier's accuracy. Nonetheless, we found it essential that embeddings themselves already capture enough visual detail for accurate classification, ensuring that predictions remain robust even without regional context (and simply do not just rely on the spatial information to make predictions).
- Data Augmentation: The sliding window augmentation approach effectively addressed class imbalances, significantly improving model performance by increasing representation of previously underrepresented urban fabric classes. This approach could boost classifier performance by an additional 10-20% in terms of accuracy.

4.12 Potential Research Directions

There are several promising directions for future research:

• Handling Misclassifications: Misclassifications typically occur between visually similar urban fabric classes, indicating inherent uncertainty in predictions. Incorporating prediction probabilities into a secondary model could help address this issue. By explicitly using probability scores from the initial classification as input for a refinement model — as previously shown by Fleischmann and Arribas-Bel¹ — we could better distinguish between ambiguous cases. This approach may "smooth" predictions, reducing noise and improving overall classification accuracy. Future work should explore how prediction confidence scores can be systematically utilised, either by employing spatial smoothing algorithms or by applying secondary machine learning models trained specifically to correct uncertain predictions.

¹Fleischmann and Arribas-Bel, 2024. Decoding (urban) form and function using spatially explicit deep learning. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 31, p.105737.

• Generalisability Testing: Evaluating the generalisability of this methodological framework is crucial for its wider applicability. Future research should test this modelling approach in different European regions, assessing whether the chosen methods, including data preprocessing, augmentation strategies, spatial embeddings, and classifier architectures, perform consistently outside Great Britain. This would involve exploring variations in urban form and regional urban planning contexts across Europe. Understanding these factors will help identify potential adjustments needed to ensure reliable predictions when extending the model beyond the original study area.

5 Stakeholder engagement

We have presented the EuroFab project and related products at various events and sessions. At Urbis 24, the project sparked interest in fulfilling unmet data requirements for energy and climate applications, leading to a potential engagement with Covenant of Mayors members via interviews or surveys. The World Urban Forum facilitated the establishment of new stakeholder relationships, including productive sessions with the SSVA (Construction Sector Development Agency of the Ministry of Environment, Lithuania). Furthermore, we held numerous sessions with the Prague Institute of Planning and Development (IPR) and 4ct.

We have gathered multiple insights from the sessions, related to these areas: - Data Integration - Taxonomy Description and Naming - Comparative Urban Analysis: - Expanding Data Coverage - Reducing Manual Effort in Land Use Analysis - Geographical Scale of Results - Taxonomic Tree & Evaluation - Input data quality

A significant finding from the stakeholder engagements is the strong interest in integrating the EuroFab data with land use, geodemographic, land value and other spatial datasets. However, stakeholders differed in their technical ability to make such a merger themselves. This led to discussions about multiple potential derived data products such as classifications that incorporate both morphological and land use or other functional information.

The stakeholders showed significant interest in the taxonomic tree, discussing both its advantages and disadvantages. Potential use cases included, identifying the relationships between different urban forms, as well as comparisons at different scales between regions and cities. SSVA, in particular, conducted a detailed evaluation of the hierarchy within the Lithuanian context and proposed several modifications so it fits better the local context.

Significant time was spend discussing the issues of how to describe and name the taxonomic tree and the clusters. Different applications required different names, and even the same application (for example, for land use planning), but in different countries required different names and descriptions. One discussed approach to this was to give clusters and the taxonomy abstract names that focus only on the morphology. This would later make it easier for users to adapt and refine the classification names and descriptions according to their specific needs and application contexts.

A key application identified through discussions with 4ct, IPR, and SSVA is the use of EuroFab data for comparative urban analysis - stakeholders expressed a strong interest

5 Stakeholder engagement

in leveraging the data to compare cities, regions, and even cross-border areas. This capability is seen as valuable for guiding planning decisions, facilitating knowledge transfer between local planning authorities and land developers, and understanding the factors that shape urban development patterns. This emphases on comparisons also underscored the need to expand the geographic coverage of the data.

We have already taken steps to address this requirement. Due to the new stakeholders from Lithuania, we had to process the urban fabric of the whole country. This required adjustments to the processing pipeline, which improved its scalability and performance and currently, its easier to include new countries in the analysis. We also note that even though Lithuania is excluded from the final modelling results with Microsoft and Overture Maps data, it is present in the interactive web application.

There were also multiple discussions with stakeholders about the geographical scale of the results. IPR expressed interest in both fine-grained units, such as morphotopes (local contiguous areas of similar urban morphology), and coarser aggregations, such as the hexagon-based aggregation featured in the web application. Conversely, 4ct and SSVA indicated a need for building-level information, as well as access to the raw data.

Related discussions covered input data quality and additional morphological variables to be included in the classification. The issues with the quality and inconsistencies of building footprints was raised multiple times, as well as problems with the street network. This was especially relevant for building height, which is a characteristic all stakeholders expressed interest in. However, we also discussed the unavailability of this data and the accuracy problems of existing building height data products.

Lastly, The Prague Institute of Planning and Development (IPR) highlighted the potential of EuroFab outputs to help with generating the official land use plan for the city. Furthermore, they expressed interest in potentially integrating the EuroFab data into their urban analytics products for Prague.

5.1 Direct stakeholder impact on the project

The most significant impact of stakeholder engagement on the project had to do with the format of the final data product and its presentation method. We provided stakeholders with multiple data types to evaluate and determine the most useful format for their specific analytical needs and usage patterns. Throughout these engagement sessions, the interactive website was developed and proved to be the most preferred format that was subsequently used for all consultations. It showcased both the hierarchical structure and the possible formats and scales of the results. Multiple data formats were developed and assessed, including representations such as a regular grid, enclosed tessellation cells, or detailed building footprints. Each of these formats was identified by stakeholders as valuable for different applications; for instance, a gridded format might be optimal for large-area environmental analysis, whereas building-level data is crucial for detailed

5 Stakeholder engagement

urban planning or infrastructure management. Lastly, these results helped in the naming and interpretation of the clusters.

Secondly, the inclusion of the Construction Sector Development Agency of the Ministry of Environment, Lithuania (SSVA) as a key stakeholder engagement led to the expansion of the pilot's scope to include the country in certain parts of the research. This experience also helped identify the areas that will need to be updated for the comprehensive pan-European scale planned for the future operational product and how to streamline the process of onboarding new interested stakeholders.

Thirdly, the decision to extend the morphometric pipeline to incorporate Overture Maps data was, in part, guided by expressed stakeholder interest, given that Overture Maps represents a new and increasingly popular open-source repository of global building information. Overture Maps generally offer greater detail, wider geographical coverage, and more frequent updates compared to the satellite-derived Microsoft building footprint data. However, a key characteristic of Overture Maps is its heterogeneity, as its data is aggregated from diverse sources without much standardisation. Our work provides a critical case study evaluating the quality of the data available through Overture Maps and exploring its practical utility, for instance, in applications like comparative urban morphology analysis or assessing settlement patterns.

Lastly, stakeholder engagement was one of the key factors influencing the strategic direction of the follow-up study. On multiple occasions during our interactions, stakeholders expressed a strong and clear interest in future collaborations, opportunities for co-development of tailored solutions, and the creation of derived analytical products. For example, linking the project's morphological results with socio-economic datasets or aggregating the results at custom scales to facilitate city or regional comparisons based on quantitative measures of urban form.

6 Conclusion

The main finding of the modelling work is that it is possible to use alternative, noncadastre level data for the accurate prediction of urban fabric over time. These predictions are more detailed than existing large-scale urban fabric classifications and the predicted classes are tailored to urban planners, researchers, policymakers and development companies.

One of the main use cases identified by stakeholders was the ability to compare different areas or identify similar cities or regions across countries. The models support this by producing fine-grained, spatially consistent urban classifications that are tailored to comparative analysis at a highly local, regional or continental scale. In addition, the models can capture urban transitions over time, adding a valuable temporal dimension to the analysis. This enables comparisons not only across space, but also through time making it possible to examine how urban areas evolve, diverge, or converge. The temporal insights unlocked by AI modelling enhance the overall utility of urban classifications for planners, researchers, and policymakers.

A key insight from the modelling work is that increasing the amount of training data improves predictive performance - particularly in the presence of class imbalance, where underrepresented classes can otherwise lead to biased outcomes. This makes data sampling strategies especially important for ensuring balanced model learning across diverse urban forms. The morphometric classification, based on the Overture model, performs well at the building level, and can be deployed at scale to generate detailed urban classes across Europe. These outputs can serve as a rich source of training data for the AI-based model, helping to fill gaps in underrepresented regions or classes. By leveraging morphometric results across multiple countries, the AI model's predictive accuracy can be further improved - leading to more robust and precise time-series predictions of urban change.

The modelling work also highlights the importance of spatially explicit machine learning and data science. In both cases, incorporating spatial information consistently improves model performance. Additionally, spatially explicit train/test splits provide a more realistic assessment of generalisation, ensuring that models are evaluated under conditions that reflect real-world deployment across unseen geographies.

Lastly, the interest and various feature requests from the stakeholders confirm the need for a detailed urban classification at a granular level. Such a dataset can act as the foundation for multiple derived data products adapted to particular use cases. Examples

6 Conclusion

included comparisons between countries at different scales - neighbourhoods, cities and regions; access to the building-level morphological data of the taxonomy; and the ability to figure out where newly-designed areas would fall within the taxonomy before they are built.

6.1 Limitations

While the presented methods demonstrate strong potential for scalable urban classification, several limitations should be acknowledged:

- Dependence on ground truth and input data quality While alternative datasets such as Overture or satellite-derived imagery allow broad geographic coverage, they cannot fully substitute for cadastral or on-the-ground verification data. The quality and consistency of input data particularly building footprints remain critical to model accuracy, especially at higher classification detail. Differences in data completeness or geometric fidelity can significantly impact performance across regions and classes.
- Uncertainty in temporal and spatial predictions Evaluating the accuracy of predictions over time is inherently challenging due to the absence of ground truth data for intermediate years. Although the classifier identifies plausible transitions, particularly in suburban areas, it is difficult to distinguish genuine urban change from model uncertainty or noise introduced by seasonal imagery variation, cloud cover, or sensor differences.
- Transferability across geographic and morphological contexts The models demonstrate strong generalisation within Great Britain and across several Central European countries. However, their performance varies in regions with distinct urban morphologies, socio-economic conditions, or planning traditions not well represented in the training data. Expanding the training set to include more diverse examples of urban fabric will likely improve transferability and robustness across broader European or global contexts.

Ultimately, EuroFab seeks to pave the road for a world where stakeholders, from local authorities to supranational organisations, are able to track and monitor the patterns of European urban development across time, in detail directly relevant for planning. The pilot study in Central Europe and the UK consisted of three related parts - a machine learning morphometric pipeline, an AI vision model, and a stakeholder engagement component. The current pilot study demonstrated the viability of using alternative data sources to produce multiscale descriptions of the built environment, based on analysis of individual buildings, street segments and their interactions. Furthermore, the stakeholder engagement part highlighted the multiple applications which stakeholders see for such a dataset.

The overarching strategy for scaling and productionalising the EuroFab system involves three principal phases. First, using the morphometric pipeline to generate detailed urban classification at a pan-European scale. Second, training the AI vision model on the outputs from step one, to fill data gaps and to produce a temporal classification based on historical satellite data. Third, producing the refined classification results and expanding stakeholder engagement activities. This will be crucial for driving user adoption and facilitating the derivation of secondary indicators and specialised datasets tailored to specific applications, such as regional development analysis or climate change impact assessments.

This new phase will also address the limitations of the pilot study. The three limitations presented above primarily have to do with the limited geographic extent of the study area. Although it covers multiple countries and tens of millions of data points, the pilot study demonstrated the benefits of larger data coverage for both models. We propose to address the three challenges - dependence on ground truth and input data quality, uncertainty in temporal and spatial predictions, and transferability across geographic and morphological contexts - through updates and scaling up of the pipelines developed in this pilot study.

7.1 Morphometric pipeline

The results from the pilot study demonstrated the potential to deliver pan-European classification of urban fabric as a combination of morphological processing and predictive modelling. Specifically, that it is possible to fill the gaps in openly available cadastral

data with alternative data sources, namely Overture Maps and Microsoft Building footprints. The resulting classification can be used by stakeholders on its own, but it will also be the training data for the expanded temporal AI models. The following changes will be required to do this:

- Alignment with Cadastral Classification: Maintaining continuous alignment with the evolving cadastral classification is crucial to keeping the morphometric pipeline up-to-data. There are no major challenges expected for this work, however it will require continuous updates of the entire pipeline, including models and data processing. Nevertheless, it is expected that each update of the ground truth data will improve the performance of the models.
- Heterogenous data sources: The scope of the pilot study was already extended so that the models and morphological processing pipeline can handle heterogeneous data. The results suggest that better quality data from heterogeneous sources, specifically Overture Maps data, leads to more accurate predictions. However, the underlying building data from new European regions can have previously unseen issues in the pilot study area. These could include issues such as topological inconsistencies (e.g., overlapping building polygons), incomplete coverage, or discrepancies in the street network data used for contextual analysis. While these challenges are considered solvable, they will likely increase the complexity and volume of the required data pre-processing work. Extending and improving the Overture Maps data processing pipeline is a good starting point for all of these and other data integration tasks.
- Data Gaps: In regions where there are no building footprints available at all, the vision model can be used directly to fill in the gaps, since Sentinel 2 has full coverage of Europe. However, such a region could potentially represent an unobserved urban class, and therefore needs to be analysed in more detail.
- Unknown urban fabric types: The likelihood of unseen urban classes lessens as the geographical scope of the ground truth cadastral classification grows. The current classification covers Germany, Poland, Lithuania, Slovakia, Czechia and Austria. There is cadastral data available for other European Countries such as Spain, Belgium, France and the Netherlands. It is expected that these will be included in subsequent cadastral classifications and therefore increase the types of urban fabric covered. This prospect further increases the importance of continuously aligning the existing morphometric pipeline with the cadastral ground truth, as well as with the latest advancements in open-source geospatial software.
- Model Tuning and Optimisation: The current morphometric models are Hist-GradientBoostingClassifier with unlimited depth and number of nodes, trained on hundreds of thousands of examples per class. However, this scheme will need to change as the size of the datasets increases, or alternatively multiple models will need to be trained and tested. The detailed spatial testing schemes implemented

in the pilot study can guide the development of the new models, where the main anticipated challenges will be hyper-parameter tuning, addressing class imbalances and accurate subletting of the training data. The data availability and data preprocessing work will also have a large impact on the model tuning and training. It is possible that only specific types regions lack cadastral data, or are not covered by the ground truth classification and therefore will require most of the modelling focus.

7.2 AI vision model

The core of EuroFab's current Earth Observation analytical capability is the Spatial Signatures Pipeline, detailed in the eurofab-project/eo GitHub repository. This pipeline is engineered to generate spatial signature predictions using satellite imagery as its primary input. First, it integrates computer vision models to create feature embeddings from Sentinel 2 imagery. These embeddings are subsequently processed by an XGBoost model, which performs the classification of individual image chips -groups of pixels - into predefined urban fabric classes. The following aspects of this baseline processing chain needs to be adapted and scaled:

- Leveraging Copernicus Services: The Copernicus programme, with its commitment to free, full, and open data access, will be the cornerstone for sourcing satellite imagery. Primarily, data from the Sentinel-2 (multispectral optical) mission will be used to derive consistent historic coverage of the urban fabric in the entire European continent. These data will be the core input for the raster-based analyses performed by the EuroFab pipeline and will enable the temporal classification and change detection of urban fabric.
- Leveraging the new morphometric classification results as ground truth: It is expected that replacing the ground truth classes from spatial signatures to the new morphometric results will not lead to significant changes in the pipeline. Although the spatial signatures capture functional information, almost all morphometric characters used in deriving the new classification are already used in the spatial signatures derivation. Therefore, the current AI vision model pipeline is already processing urban form information. There is one significant change that will need to be accounted for. The new ground truth classification focuses exclusively on areas that have buildings, therefore large expanses of non-built up area such as fields and forests are excluded by definition. This will actually reduce processing times and help with class imbalances, however some changes in the processing pipeline will be necessary.
- Generalisability Testing: The performance of the vision model will be tested using the same robust generalisability testing framework used in the pilot study's morphological pipeline. This was not possible for the pilot AI pipeline due to the

limited extent of the available data. However, with the expansion of the study area data more complex testing scenarios become viable. Furthermore, the generalisability task becomes easier as the scale of the morphometric pipeline used to generate the training data increases to cover the whole of Europe. Rather than having to predict urban form in multiple entirely unseen contexts, the vision model will have to do in-fill predictions - —classifying urban fabric for regions where contextual information from surrounding, already classified areas is available, thus benefiting from a richer, more representative training dataset.

- Evaluation of urban predictions across time Similarly, the larger quantity of data, as well as the new testing frameworks will enable better model performance and more certainty in the temporal classification results. Furthermore, the expanded stakeholder engagement and derivation of secondary datasets will provide valuable real-world validation, and increased confidence in the results.
- Methodological processing The existing AI pipeline architecture relies on localised information - processing image pixels and chips with limited local context - to generate embeddings and subsequent predictions. Therefore, it is anticipated that memory and computing requirements will scale in a broadly linear fashion with the increase in data volume, without necessitating fundamental changes to the core methodology. However, it is expected that significantly more resources will be required for preprocessing the data and for training generating the embeddings from the satellite images for the whole of Europe. In order to do these steps in a reasonable timeframe it is expected that training and inference will require a small cluster of high-end GPUs, with the inference ran on a yearly basis.

7.3 Stakeholder engagement

The stakeholder work in the pilot study confirmed the need for a detailed urban classification at a granular level. The engagement also resulted in multiple feature requests for secondary indicators, specific functionality and reports. The new pan-European detailed urban classification has the potential to serve as a foundational dataset for a multitude of derived data products, each adapted to particular use cases and stakeholder requirements. Examples of requested applications included: comparative analyses between countries at various spatial scales (neighbourhoods, cities, regions); direct access to building-level morphological data for micro-scale studies; and predictive classification capabilities to assess how newly designed or planned urban areas might be categorised before construction. Furthermore, stakeholders expressed strong interest in combining the EuroFab classification with other complementary datasets, such as socio-economic data, environmental hazard maps, or transport network information.

The expanded geographical scale and enhanced detail of the new pan-European results will enable us to continue and enhance the stakeholder work in several ways.

- Workshops and conference work: Experience from the pilot phase demonstrated that workshops and conference presentations are highly effective mechanisms for fostering collaborations and gathering valuable insights. The pan-European scale of the new product will increase the number of relevant and interested stakeholders. Therefore, sustained engagement through targeted workshops and active participation in key European conferences is expected to continue delivering substantial value to the project as a whole.
- Engagement with Specific Cities and Regions: Stakeholders consistently expressed interest in their local areas or countries, as well as larger pan-European data. Even the pilot study extent of five Central European countries and the UK proved limiting. For example, the inclusion of SSVA (Construction Sector Development Agency of the Ministry of Environment, Lithuania) as one of the key stakeholder engagements, necessitated the inclusion of Lithuania in some parts of the pilot's research. The comprehensive pan-European scale of the operational product will significantly streamline the process of onboarding new interested stakeholders, as their specific geographical areas of interest will inherently be covered.
- Collaborations & co-development: The focus of the current stakeholder work was on establishing relationships and gathering feedback. Extended collaboration on specific applications and data products was not possible due to the short duration of the pilot. The expanded scope and duration of the pan-European classification project will lead to stakeholder engagement beyond simple feedback collection. This can involve establishing formal partnerships where stakeholders actively contribute resources – such as local datasets for training/validation, domain expertise, or personnel for joint validation campaigns – and, in return, share in the benefits and responsibilities of the new data products. Such collaborative ventures are designed to deeply embed the EuroFab service and its outputs into the stakeholders' existing operational workflows and critical decision-making processes, thereby maximising its real-world impact.
- Developing derived products: For specific, strategically important use cases (e.g., monitoring progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 11 on sustainable cities), the project can extend its stakeholder engagement to actively guide the co-development of tailored derived products. This work will also result in detailed examples and documentation of how to use the foundational space-time urban classification product for the development of derived indicators in different policy areas. Some desirable indicators that came up during the development work were combining the classification with land use data and geodemographic factors, generating summaries of the morphometric character of cities, access to the full taxonomy, as well as raw morphometric characters data at the building level.
- Supporting Third-Party Development of Derived Products: The pilot study also revealed interest from commercial entities and research groups in developing their own derived products for specific niche applications. These were local

consultancies and private companies operating in sectors such as urban planning, real estate analytics, and environmental management. A framework for ongoing engagement and technical support can be established to facilitate and encourage these third-party developments, potentially through API access or developer toolk-its.

8.1 Software

8.1.1 Morphometrics

8.1.1.1 Interactive web application

We developed an interactive web app that displays the entire ground truth morphometric classification for Central Europe - HiMoC. The web app makes possible the sharing of the Central European data with an even wider audience, than the original specified stakeholders, regardless of their technical expertise. Furthermore, it allowed stakeholders to visually explore the geospatial data, zoom in and out, pan across areas, and overlay different layers. It was the focus of multiple stakeholder consultations and enabled them to see final results, propose features, highlight mistakes. Furthermore, it allowed them to specify what format at what scale the final results would be most useful for them.

8.1.1.2 Morphometric characterisation pipeline for Microsoft Building footprints

The entire morphometric characterisation pipeline for the processing of Microsoft Building Footprints, including reproducible notebooks and an environment is open-sourced and available on the EuroFab GitHub.

8.1.1.3 Morphometric characterisation pipeline for Overture Maps Building footprints

The above reproducible pipeline was further adapted to process Overture Maps data and is also available at the EuroFab GitHub.

8.1.2 EO

All EO analyses presented are supported by openly accessible software hosted on GitHub. The AI prediction pipeline, including preprocessing, embedding generation, and prediction of spatial signatures, is fully documented and accessible at EO repository.

8.1.3 Software: AI Method for Urban Fabric classification and morphometric characterisation

All the work supporting this analysis can be found on GitHub. The main prediction pipeline, which includes data preprocessing, embedding creation, and spatial signature prediction, can be used as follows:

```
# Run the pipeline
pipeline.spatial_sig_prediction(
    ## Vector file (geojson or parquet) of analysis area (grid).
    geo_path= "london_25_25_grid_clipped.geojson",
    ## Vrt file of the satellite composite
    vrt_file= "mosaic_cube/vrt_allbands/2017_combined.vrt",
    ## Model weights for XGBoost classifier
    xgb_weights = "k12_h5_slided_gb_xgb_model.bin",
    ## Model weights for embedding model (Satlas)
    model_weights = "satlas/weights/satlas-model-v1-lowres.pth",
    ## Output file with predictions, prediction probabilities and geometries
    output_path= "predictions/test_london_h6.parquet",
    ## h3 resolution to be added to analysis (spatial context)
    h3_resolution=5,
)
```

More details and documentation on how to run the pipeline can be found in the example on the EuroFab project EO repository.

8.2 Example datasets

8.2.1 Morphometric model

The final morphometric model is around 40GB and takes around 10 hours to train. The full script and notebooks, including a reproducible environment is available at the project GitHub. A non-interactive version of the notebooks used in the pipeline is available on the EuroFab Project Website .

8.2.2 AI model

The final data cube including predictions for the years 2016 to 2021 for 7 and 12 classes can be found on the project website.



Figure 8.1: Example Level 4 predictions for the areas around Vienna (Austria), Munich (Germany), Wroclaw (Poland) and Brno (Czechia)

8.2.3 Example datasets generated during Verification Exercises

Here are some example visualisations showing London and Liverpool from the dataset:

8.2.3.1 London



Figure 8.2: London, 7 classes



Figure 8.3: London, 12 classes

8.2.3.2 Liverpool



Figure 8.4: Liverpool, 7 classes

Figure 8.5: Liverpool, 12 classes

9 Project documentation

Complete project documentation, including the presentation slides, code, data, and all the technical notes is available from the project homepage eurofab.org.

Individual sites linked from the homepage contain:

- Technical notes eurofab.org/technical-notes/
- Presentations eurofab.org/talks/
- Morphometric work stream eurofab.org/morphometrics/
- Earth Observation work stream eurofab.org/eo/

Each of the sites is publicly hosted on GitHub and built into HTML using GitHub Actions.

- Homepage github.com/eurofab-project/eurofab-project.github.io
- Technical notes github.com/eurofab-project/technical-notes/
- Presentations github.com/eurofab-project/talks/
- Morphometric work stream github.com/eurofab-project/morphometrics/
- Earth Observation work stream github.com/eurofab-project/eo/

References

- Araldi, Alessandro, and Giovanni Fusco. 2024. "Multi-Level Street-Based Analysis of the Urban Fabric: Developments for a Nationwide Taxonomy." *Geographical Analysis*, October. https://doi.org/10.1111/gean.12416.
- Arribas-Bel, Daniel, and Martin Fleischmann. 2022. "Spatial Signatures-Understanding (Urban) Spaces Through Form and Function." *Habitat International* 128: 102641.
- Bastani, Favyen, Piper Wolters, Ritwik Gupta, Joe Ferdinando, and Aniruddha Kembhavi. 2023. "SatlasPretrain: A Large-Scale Dataset for Remote Sensing Image Understanding." arXiv. http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.15660.
- Calafiore, Alessia, Krasen Samardzhiev, Francisco Rowe, Martin Fleischmann, and Daniel Arribas-Bel. 2023. "Inequalities in Experiencing Urban Functions. An Exploration of Human Digital (Geo-) Footprints." *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics* and City Science, 23998083231208507.
- Corbane, C., P. Politis, P. Kempeneers, D. Simonetti, P. Soille, A. Burger, M. Pesaresi, F. Sabo, V. Syrris, and T. Kemper. 2020. "A Global Cloud Free Pixel- Based Image Composite from Sentinel-2 Data." *Data in Brief* 31 (August): 105737. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105737.

European Environment Agency. 1990. "CORINE Land Cover," January, 1-163.

- Fleischmann, Martin, and Daniel Arribas-Bel. 2022. "Geographical Characterisation of British Urban Form and Function Using the Spatial Signatures Framework." Scientific Data 9 (1): 546.
- Fleischmann, Martin, Alessandra Feliciotti, Ombretta Romice, and Sergio Porta. 2022. "Methodological Foundation of a Numerical Taxonomy of Urban Form." *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science* 49 (4): 1283–99.
- Fleischmann, Martin, and Krasen Samardzhiev. Forthcoming. "Numerical Taxonomy of Urban Fabric in Central Europe," Forthcoming.
- Qiu, Waishan, Ziye Zhang, Xun Liu, Wenjing Li, Xiaojiang Li, Xiang Xu, and Xiaokai Huang. 2022. "Subjective or Objective Measures of Street Environment, Which Are More Effective in Explaining Housing Prices?" Landscape and Urban Planning 221: 104358. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2022.104358.
- Stewart, I D, and T R Oke. 2012. "Local Climate Zones for Urban Temperature Studies." Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 93 (12): 1879–1900. https://doi.org/ 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00019.1.
- Zhu, Sijie, Yanxia Li, Shen Wei, Chao Wang, Xinkai Zhang, Xing Jin, Xin Zhou, and Xing Shi. 2022. "The Impact of Urban Vegetation Morphology on Urban Building Energy Consumption During Summer and Winter Seasons in Nanjing, China." Land-

9 Project documentation

scape and Urban Planning 228: 104576. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. landurbplan.2022.104576.